

1  
2  
3  
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 \* \* \*

7 STEVEN R. DAVIS,

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 UNITEL VOICE, LLC, et al.,

11 Defendants.

Case No. 2:18-cv-00673-JCM-PAL

**ORDER**

(Mot. File Electronically – ECF No. 28)

12 This matter is before the court on Plaintiff Steven R. Davis’ Motion for Permission for  
13 Electronic Case Filing (ECF No. 28). No response was filed and the time for filing a response has  
14 run. This Motion is referred to the undersigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A) and LR IB  
15 1-3 of the Local Rules of Practice.

16 Mr. Davis is proceeding in this action *pro se*, which means he is not represented by an  
17 attorney. *See* LSR 2-1. Although pro se parties are generally held to less stringent standards, “pro  
18 se litigants in the ordinary civil case should not be treated more favorably than parties with  
19 attorneys of record.” *Jacobsen v. Filler*, 790 F.2d 1362, 1364 (9th Cir. 1986). A pro se litigant  
20 must follow the same rules of procedure that govern other litigants. *King v. Atiyeh*, 814 F.2d 565,  
21 567 (9th Cir. 1986); *Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 54 (9th Cir. 1995) (noting that “pro se litigants  
22 are bound by the rules of procedure”). The court appreciates that it is difficult for pro se parties to  
23 litigate their claims. Mr. Davis is advised to familiarize themselves with the Federal Rules of Civil  
24 Procedure, the Local Rules of Practice, and relevant case law as much as possible.<sup>1</sup>

25 <sup>1</sup> The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure may be accessed on the United States Courts website free of charge  
26 at: <http://www.uscourts.gov/rules-policies/current-rules-practice-procedure/federal-rules-civil-procedure>.  
27 The Local Rules of Practice may be accessed from this court’s website free of charge at  
28 <http://www.nvd.uscourts.gov/>. In addition, litigants may access the District of Nevada’s pro se assistance  
packet through a link on the court’s website, available at <https://www.nvd.uscourts.gov/wp-content/uploads/2017/08/Representing-Yourself-Guide.pdf>.


1 The Local Rules of Practice require attorneys “to file all documents electronically.” LR  
2 IC 2-1(a). However, “a pro se litigant may request the court’s authorization to register as a filer  
3 in a specific case.” LR IC 2-1(b). Here, Mr. Davis asks the court to allow him to use the court’s  
4 CM/ECF system in order to file, access, and electronically serve documents in this case.

5 Having reviewed and considered the matter, and good cause appearing,

6 **IT IS ORDERED:**

- 7 1. Plaintiff Steven R. Davis’ Motion for Permission for Electronic Case Filing (ECF  
8 No. 28) is GRANTED.
- 9 2. Mr. Davis must comply with the following procedures to activate his CM/ECF  
10 account:
- 11 a. On or before **October 17, 2018**, Mr. Davis must complete the CM/ECF  
12 tutorial, which is accessible on the court’s website [www.nvd.uscourts.gov](http://www.nvd.uscourts.gov)  
13 in the Civil & Criminal Events Menu, and file certification indicating that  
14 he is familiar with the electronic filing procedures and best practices.
- 15 b. Mr. Davis is not authorized to file electronically unless the certification is  
16 filed with the court by October 17, 2016..
- 17 c. Upon timely filing of the certification, Mr. Davis shall contact Robert  
18 Johnson at the CM/ECF Help Desk at (702) 464-5555 to set up a CM/ECF  
19 account.

20 Dated this 3rd day of October 2018.

21   
22 PEGGY A. LEEN  
23 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28